

[1]

[2]

CI

LVEDD

P 0.05

2

on clinical efficacies between two groups

<i>n</i> / 49	/	/	/%
49	27	12	75.51
49	28	3	93.88*

**P* 0.05

**P* < 0.05 vs control group

x ± *s* *n* = 49

Table 2 Compa

rogram indexes between two groups (*x* ± *s*, *n* = 49)

SV/mL	LVEDD/mm	CO/(L·min ⁻¹)	CI
41.04± 4.2	61.22± 8.03	3.28± 0.39	1.76± 0.31
50.13± 4.6	56.33± 6.33*	3.68± 0.55*	2.06± 0.40*
40.85± 3.7	61.97± 7.38	3.11± 0.48	1.89± 0.27
55.31± 4.8	50.54± 5.21*	4.25± 0.61*	2.41± 0.38*

**P* 0.01

**P* < 0.01 vs same group before treatment

er treatment

2.3

6 min

6 min

3 6 min

x ± *s*

Table 3 Comparison on 6 min walking distance between two groups (*x* ± *s*)

<i>n</i> / 49	6 min	/m
49	213.5± 34.9	348.9± 53.8*
49	211.2± 33.8	398.5± 67.9*

**P* 0.05

▲*P* 0.05

**P* < 0.05 vs same group before treatment; ▲*P* < 0.05 vs control group after treatment

2.4

18.37%

20.41%

4

ctions between two groups

<i>n</i> / 49	/	/	/	/%	
49	1	2	1	2	18.37
49	3	1	2	1	20.41

3

RAAS

[9]

AECI ARB β

AT1

RAAS

[10-11]